


Monolithic vs Microservice

The choice between monolithic and microservice architecture significantly impacts the design, development, and maintenance of software applications. Here's a detailed comparison:

Monolithic Architecture

A monolithic architecture is a single, unified software application where all the components and functionalities are tightly coupled and run as a single service.



Structure

- All components (e.g., UI, business logic, data access) are part of one large codebase.
- Typically, it's deployed as a single unit (e.g., a single executable or a single container).

Advantages

- **Simplicity:** Easier to develop, test, and deploy initially.
- **Performance:** Often more efficient in terms of resource usage and latency due to fewer inter-process communications.
- **Debugging:** Easier to trace and debug due to a single codebase and execution context.

Disadvantages


- **Scalability:** Scaling requires replicating the entire application, which can be inefficient.
- **Flexibility:** Difficult to adopt new technologies incrementally.
- **Maintenance:** As the codebase grows, it becomes harder to manage, understand, and modify.
- **Deployment:** Any change, even a minor one, requires redeploying the entire application.

Use Cases

- Best for simpler, smaller applications with a clear and stable scope.
- Easier for teams with less experience in distributed systems.

Microservice Architecture

A microservice architecture structures an application as a collection of loosely coupled, independently deployable services.



Structure

- Each service encapsulates a specific business capability, has its own codebase, and can be developed, deployed, and scaled independently.
- Services communicate with each other using APIs, often over HTTP/REST or messaging queues.

Advantages

- **Scalability:** Individual services can be scaled independently based on demand.
- **Flexibility:** Different services can use different technologies and programming languages.
- **Resilience:** Failure in one service doesn't necessarily bring down the entire system.
- **Deployment:** Continuous deployment and integration are more feasible; changes can be deployed without affecting the entire system.

Disadvantages

- **Complexity:** More complex to design and manage due to the distributed nature.
- **Latency:** Communication between services adds overhead and potential latency.
- **Testing:** End-to-end testing can be challenging.
- **Data Management:** Managing data consistency across services can be difficult.

Use Cases

- Ideal for large, complex applications that require scalability and flexibility.
- Suited for applications where different parts need to evolve independently.
- Requires a mature DevOps culture and infrastructure for continuous deployment and monitoring.

Summary

- **Monolithic Architecture:** Simpler and more straightforward but can become unwieldy and difficult to maintain as it grows.
- **Microservice Architecture:** Offers greater scalability and flexibility but comes with increased complexity in design, deployment, and management.

From:

<https://wiki.bzz.ch/> - **BZZ - Modulwiki**

Permanent link:

https://wiki.bzz.ch/en/modul/m321_aws/topics/03?rev=1755102095

Last update: **2025/08/13 18:21**

